

DISMISSING HISTORY

On March 15, 2010, after nearly ten months of anticipation, a Federal Judge in the Southern District of New York dismissed the complaint filed by April Gallop and her son against Dick Cheney, Donald Rumsfeld, Richard Myers and as-yet unidentified government and military officials named as John Does." The decision dismissing the case before any preliminary documents could even be exchanged between the parties, as mandated by the federal rules, was hardly shocking to Ms. Gallop's attorneys. For those unfamiliar with civil litigation, once a law suit is filed each party is permitted, not required, to ask for documents from the other side and question witnesses (it's called "discovery"). In many federal cases however, the rules mandate the immediate exchange of such information as the names of people each side anticipates are witnesses with information related to the case or, copies of documents that the parties anticipate using in defending their case. The parties cannot avoid this mandate unless the case is dismissed before the time to exchange the mandated information is triggered. This is what happened in the Gallop case.

While the decision to dismiss was not surprising, considering the ramifications of letting discovery of documents to begin, the reason for the decision was, in this writer's opinion, absurd. Drawing on his "judicial experience" and applying his "common sense," the Federal Judge ruled that:

Even assuming the factual allegations of the complaint are true, Gallop's claims are not plausible. It is simply not plausible that the Vice President of the United States, the Secretary of Defense, and other high –ranking officials conspired to facilitate terrorist attacks that would result in the deaths of thousand of Americans. If anything, the allegations are the product of cynical fantasy and delusion.

"Simply not plausible?" To the contrary, it is more than likely plausible when you consider the history of the United States and [false flag operations](#). The default position with respect to the question of whether the US government would put its citizens' lives in danger should be one of affirmation rather than negation or rejection.

Ms. [Gallop](#), a US Army soldier at the time assigned to the Pentagon, was returning from maternity leave with her son on the morning of 9/11. As she sat down in her office and turned on her computer, there was an explosion that caused the wall to collapse and the ceiling to fall in. The explosion was caused by what we have been told was a Boeing 757 airliner. Ms. Gallop asserts in her [complaint](#) that as she made her way through the destruction on the ground floor, she found no parts, pieces, fragments or any other evidence that would make one believe a plane in fact hit the Pentagon. In her complaint, Ms. Gallop set forth a host of factual allegations that exposed inconsistencies, inaccuracies and lies in the official story. One of the most important allegations, completely ignored by the court, concerns [Norman Mineta's testimony to the 9/11 Commission](#). Mineta described a young man periodically coming into the Presidential Emergency Operations Center (PEOC), also known as the "bunker," to advise the Vice President about the position of the "plane" as it approached the Pentagon. Mr. Mineta reports the following:

There was a young man who had come in and said to the vice president, 'The plane is 50 miles out. The plane is 30 miles out.' And when it got down to, 'The plane is 10 miles out,' the young man also said to the vice president, 'Do the orders still stand?'

And the vice president turned and whipped his neck around and said, 'Of course the orders still stand. Have you heard anything to the contrary?' Well, at the time I didn't know what all that meant.

Within minutes after the final exchange between V.P. and the young man, the Pentagon was ablaze. We are left to divine what the orders were, but had they been to destroy the plane, subsequent history would have been filled with boards of inquiry, courts martial, demotions, and official reprimands, none of which took place. The inference set out in the Gallop Complaint is that Cheney was at that moment engaged in an overt act of conspiracy. (See [Webster Griffing Tarpley's analysis on LIHOP and MIHOP](#)). Consider further, as explained in the complaint, that while Cheney was in the bunker and was told that the "plane" was "ten miles out" no alarms or warnings were sounded to evacuate any of the Pentagon employees. In the meantime, high ranking government officials, like Rice, Clarke, Myers and Rumsfeld were being hurried to bunkers for safe keeping.

Notwithstanding the many facts alleged in her complaint, only some of which were mentioned in the decision, the court dismissed the case with prejudice. "With prejudice" means that no additional facts, known or still to be found out, could be added in a way that would cure the problem the court finds with the complaint. In other words, the case is dead forever, unless it is returned to the Judge's desk by an appellate court that disagrees with his decision to dismiss.

The federal court's finding that it is not plausible for high ranking officials to engage in a conspiracy to harm U.S. citizens shows an obvious ignorance of the number of times the U.S. government has either extrajudicially taken the lives of its own citizens, put the lives and health of its citizens in risk or, planned false flag events that cost the lives of tens of thousands. The truth of some of the government conspiracies outlined below did not surface until decades after the events – when no one affected by them was alive or cared to take action. 9/11 is so blatant, and the evidence of governmental complicity so grounded in physics, that the allegations are unlikely to disappear. So, let us take a brief look at the history, completely dismissed by the court:

1. One can never forget what may be the mother of all false flags – the Gulf of Tonkin incident. The GT incident is the reason we are told the US government had to send thousands of US soldiers in harm's way to defend our way of life from the VietCong. Indeed, the [Gulf of Tonkin incident](#) story that is still taught in history books all over the country as the reason for US full engagement in Vietnam never happened as we were told it did. Incredible.
2. According to a May 1, 2001 ABC News article – written just 4 months before 9/11 - in the early 1960s, America's top military leaders reportedly drafted plans to kill innocent people and commit acts of terrorism in U.S. cities to create public support for a war against [Cuba](#). Code named Operation Northwoods, the plans reportedly included the possible assassination of Cuban émigrés, sinking boats of Cuban refugees on the high seas, hijacking planes, blowing up a U.S. ship, and even orchestrating violent terrorism in U.S. cities. The plan was described as "the most corrupt plan ever created by the US Government" by the author of a book outing the Joint Chiefs of Staff plot to kill US citizens.
3. [On December 8, 1999 a jury found in a civil wrongful death action brought by the King family – a case very little known by most Americans – that the FBI and other agents and persons conspired to assassinate Martin Luther King Jr. Ironically, the attorney that](#)

[represented the King family was the same attorney that defended James Earl Ray – the man ultimately convicted for killing King.](#)

4. Let us never forget that [Christine Todd Whitman](#), the presiding EPA Administrator during the 911 attacks repeatedly told us that the air quality at ground zero was safe to breath. [Just ask all those first responders and other workers who are suffering from chronic health problems whether the air was safe to breath.](#)
5. After supporting the baseless war in Iraq, the [NY Times](#) had to issue a public apology for pushing the lie that was the WMD manufacturing consent propaganda.
6. Finally, the Pulitzer Prize winning investigative reporter [Seymour Hersh](#) reported that Dick Cheney planned a false flag operation that involved killing navy seals as a pretext to war against Iran.

There are more examples but these should suffice. So, you be the judge -thousands of Americans and perhaps a million Iraqis have died in a war based entirely on deliberate, blatant lies; in which the CIA and the Army engaged in mass and systematic torture - which Cheney ordered, championed and still defends. Do people still think it's simply not plausible?

By Natural Selection. Contributing writers are William Veale and Dennis Cunningham, two of the attorneys representing Ms. Gallop. William Veale can be found at centerfor911justice.org.